

The opinion in support of the decision being
entered today is not binding precedent of the Board.

26
Paper

Filed by: Trial Section Motions Panel

Mail Stop Interference
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
Tel: 571-272-9797
Fax: 571-273-0042

Filed
2 February 2005

4/2/05

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS
AND INTERFERENCES

PAUL A. ROWLANDS
Junior Party,
(Patent 6,071,077),

v.

DAVID A. SPEAR, DENNIS N. KANTOR,
BRUCE P. BIEDERMAN and JOHN A. OROSA
Senior Party,
(Application 09/874,931).

Patent Interference No. 105,195

Before: SCHAFER, LEE, and MEDLEY, Administrative Patent Judges.

MEDLEY, Administrative Patent Judge.

Judgment - Motions - Bd.R. 127

For the reasons given in the Decision on Preliminary Motions (Paper 81), judgment is
herein entered against junior party Rowlands. In particular, Rowlands failed to file a priority
statement alleging an earlier date of invention with respect to the subject matter of the count prior
to the senior party Spear's earliest accorded benefit date of 17 November 1995. Accordingly,
judgment is entered against junior party Rowlands. It is

ORDERED that judgment as to the subject matter of the count is herein entered against junior party **ROWLANDS**;

FURTHER ORDERED junior party **ROWLANDS** is not entitled to claims 1-13 which correspond to the count;

FURTHER ORDERED that if there is a settlement agreement, the parties should note the requirements of 35 U.S.C. § 135(c) and 37 CFR § 41.205; and

FURTHER ORDERED that a copy of this judgment be filed in the respective involved applications of the parties.

cc (via e-mail):

Attorney for Rowlands:
Mr. William P. Berridge
e-mail: o&b@OLIFF.com

Attorney for Spear:
Mr. David M. Quinlan
e-mail: quinlanpc@msn.com